

A NEW REALIZATION OF HOLOGRAPHY

Carlos Castro

Center for Theoretical Studies of Physical Systems
Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA. 30314

Abstract

Based on the old results of Cho, Soh, Park and Yoon, it is shown how higher $m + n$ dimensional pure gravitational actions restricted to $AdS_m \times S^n$ backgrounds admit a holographic reduction to a lower m -dimensional Yang-Mills-like gauge theory of diffeomorphisms of S^n interacting with a charged non-linear sigma model plus boundary terms by a simple tuning of the AdS_m -throat and S^n -radius sizes. After performing a harmonic expansion of the fields with respect to the internal coordinates and a subsequent integration one obtains an m -dimensional effective action involving an infinite-component field theory. The supersymmetrization program can be carried out in a straightforward fashion.

In the past years there has been a large activity on the holographic principle and the AdS/CFT correspondence [1,2]. It is unfortunate that the work of [3] received little attention when in fact contained already the seeds of the holographic principle. The main result of [3] is that $m + n$ -dim Einstein gravity can be identified with an m -dimensional generally invariant gauge theory of Diffs N , where N is an n -dim manifold. Locally the $m + n$ -dim space can be written as $\Sigma = M \times N$ and the metric g_{AB} decomposes:

$$g_{AB} \Rightarrow \gamma_{\mu\nu}(x, y) + e^2 \phi_{ab}(x, y) A_\mu^a(x, y) A_\nu^b(x, y). \quad e A_\mu^a(x, y) \phi_{ab}(x, y). \quad \phi_{ab}(x, y) \dots \quad (1)$$

where e is the gauge coupling constant. This decomposition must *not* be confused with the Kaluza-Klein reduction where one imposes an isometry restriction on the g_{AB} that turns A_μ^a into a gauge connection associated with the gauge group G generated by isometry. Dropping the isometry restrictions allows *all* the fields to depend on *all* the coordinates x, y . Nevertheless $A_\mu^a(x, y)$ can still be identified as a connection associated with the infinite-dim gauge group of Diffs N . The gauge transformations are now given in terms of Lie-brackets and Lie derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu^a &= -\frac{1}{e} D_\mu \xi^a = -\frac{1}{e} (\partial_\mu \xi^a - e [A_\mu, \xi]^a) = -\frac{1}{e} (\partial_\mu - e \mathcal{L}_{A_\mu}) \xi^a \\ A_\mu &\equiv A_\mu^a \partial_a. \quad \mathcal{L}_{A_\mu} \xi^a \equiv [A_\mu, \xi]^a \\ \delta \phi_{ab} &= -[\xi, \phi]_{ab}. \quad \delta \gamma_{\mu\nu} = -[\xi, \gamma_{\mu\nu}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In particular, if the relevant algebra is the area-preserving diffs of S^2 , given by the suitable basis dependent limit of the large N limit, $SU(\infty)$ [4], one induces a natural Lie-Poisson structure generated by the gauge fields A_μ . The Lie derivative of f along a vector ξ is the Lie bracket $[\xi, f]$ which coincides in this case with the Poisson bracket $\{\xi, f\}$. This implies that the Lie brackets of two generators of the area-preserving diffs S^2 is given precisely by the generator associated with their respective Poisson brackets (a Lie-Poisson structure):

$$[L_f, L_g] = L_{\{f, g\}}. \quad (3)$$

This relation is derived by taking the vectors ξ_1^a, ξ_2^a , along which we compute the Lie derivatives, to be the symplectic gradients of two functions $f(\sigma^1, \sigma^2), g(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$:

$$\xi_1^a = \Omega^{ab} \partial_b f. \quad \xi_2^a = \Omega^{ab} \partial_b g. \quad (4)$$

When nontrivial topologies are involved one must include harmonic forms ω into the definition of ξ^a allowing central terms for the algebras. This relation can be extended to the volume-preserving diffs of N by means of the Nambu-Poisson brackets:

$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} = \text{Jacobian} = \frac{\partial(A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n)}{\partial(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^n)} \Rightarrow$$

$$[L_{A_1}, L_{A_2}, \dots, L_{A_n}] = L_{\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}}. \quad (5)$$

which states that the Nambu-commutator of n -generators of the volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{N}$ is given by the generator associated with their corresponding Nambu-Poisson brackets. The generators are obtained in this case by taking the multi-symplectic gradients of functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}$ of $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^n$ given in terms of the inverse of the multi-symplectic n -form Ω :

$$\xi_{(i)}^{a_1} = \Omega^{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_n} \partial_{a_2} f_1^{(i)} \partial_{a_3} f_2^{(i)} \dots \partial_{a_n} f_{n-1}^{(i)}. \quad (6)$$

When the dimension of $\mathcal{N}$ is even, locally one can write the volume form in terms of products of area-forms $\Omega = \omega \wedge \omega \dots$; i.e. in an appropriate frame the Jacobian (Nambu-Poisson bracket) factorizes locally into a product of ordinary Poisson brackets. However this doesn't mean that area-preserving has a one-to-one correspondence with volume preserving. There are volume-preserving diffeomorphisms that do not necessarily preserve areas. The suitable star product will be the Zariski product related to the deformation program of Nambu-Poisson mechanics [20]; i.e. deformation theory in multi-symplectic geometry. The curvature scalar $R^{(m+n)}$ decomposes into [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\det G_{AB}} R^{(m+n)} &\Rightarrow \sqrt{-\gamma} \sqrt{\phi} \times \{ \gamma^{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + \frac{e^2}{4} \phi_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\tau}^b \gamma^{\mu\rho} \gamma^{\nu\tau} + \\ &\phi^{ab} R_{ab}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \phi^{ab} \phi^{cd} [D_\mu \phi_{ac} D_\nu \phi_{bd} - D_\mu \phi_{ab} D_\nu \phi_{cd}] + \\ &\frac{1}{4} \phi^{ab} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\rho\tau} [\partial_a \gamma_{\mu\rho} \partial_b \gamma_{\nu\tau} - \partial_a \gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial_b \gamma_{\rho\tau}] \} + \\ &[\partial_\mu (\sqrt{-\gamma} \sqrt{\phi} j^\mu) - \partial_a (\sqrt{-\gamma} \sqrt{\phi} e A_\mu^a j^\mu) + \partial_a (\sqrt{-\gamma} \sqrt{\phi} j^a)] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The currents are [3]:

$$j^\mu = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \phi^{ab} D_\nu \phi_{ab}, \quad j^a = \phi^{ab} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_b \gamma_{\mu\nu}. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, Einstein gravity in $m+n$ -dim describes an m -dim generally invariant field theory under the gauge transformations or *Diffs* N . Notice how A_μ^a couples to the graviton $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, meaning that the graviton is charged (gauged) in this theory and to the ϕ_{ab} fields. The ‘‘Ricci’’ tensor of the horizontal space is a *gauged* Ricci tensor meaning that it is constructed using the derivatives $\partial_\mu - e A_\mu^a \partial_a$. The ‘‘metric’’ ϕ_{ab} on N can be identified as a *gauged* non-linear sigma field whose self interaction potential term is given by: $\phi^{ab} R_{ab}^{(n)}$. The contribution of the currents to the action is essential when there are boundaries involved; i.e. the projective/conformal boundary of AdS spaces.

When the internal manifold is a homogeneous space one can perform a harmonic expansion of the fields w.r.t the internal y coordinates, and after integrating w.r.t these coordinates y , one will generate an infinite-component field theory on the m -dimensional space, i.e. the effective action in the horizontal space will involve a sum over an infinity of field components [22]. This resembles the higher spin field theories of [6,7]. Whereas a reduction of the *Diffs* N , via the inner automorphisms of a subgroup G of the *Diffs* N , yields the usual Einstein-Yang-Mills theory interacting with a nonlinear sigma field. But in general, the theory described in [3] is by far richer than the latter theory. A crucial fact of the decomposition (7) is that *each* single term in (7) is by itself independently invariant under *Diffs* N .

To prove the main point of this short note, let us assume that $m = n = 5$. For the particular case (i) that the horizontal space metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ is *uncharged*; i.e. independent of the y coordinates and equal to the AdS_5 metric and (ii) ϕ_{ab} depends solely on the internal y coordinates; i.e. constant field configurations (from the point of view of the horizontal manifold) for the non-linear sigma model. But the gauge field still retains the *full* dependence on *all* the coordinates x, y . In this particular case the action simplifies drastically for the following reasons:

When $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(AdS_5)$ the *gauged* Ricci scalar curvature coincides precisely with the negative-valued scalar curvature $R(AdS_5)$. The ‘‘Ricci’’ scalar for the internal manifold will coincide with the positive-valued Ricci scalar $R(S^5)$ and the terms $\partial_a \gamma_{\mu\nu}, \dots$ in the action are zero. If one takes the size of the AdS_5 throat to coincide with the value of the internal radius of S^5 there will be an exact *cancellation* of the scalar curvatures

and the 10-dim gravitational action reduces to a 5-dim Yang-Mills-like gauge theory of $\text{Diff } S^5$ interacting with a charged non-linear sigma model ϕ_{ab} plus boundary terms:

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi G}\sqrt{\det GR^{(m+n)}} \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\sqrt{-\gamma}\sqrt{\phi} \times \left\{ \frac{e^2}{4}\phi_{ab}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\tau}^b \gamma^{\mu\rho}\gamma^{\nu\tau} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{4}e^2\gamma^{\mu\nu}\phi^{ab}\phi^{cd}[A_\mu, \phi]_{ac}[A_\nu, \phi]_{bd} - \dots \right\} + \dots \text{boundary terms} \quad (9)$$

since when ϕ_{ab} depend on y only, the covariant derivatives become:

$$D_\mu \phi_{ab} = [eA_\mu, \phi]_{ab} = e(\partial_a A_\mu^c)\phi_{bc} + e(\partial_b A_\mu^c)\phi_{ac} + eA_\mu^c \partial_c \phi_{ab}. \quad (10)$$

. It is important to emphasize that all the dynamics is encoded entirely in the field A_μ . The fields $\gamma_{\mu\nu}, \phi_{ab}$ act as backgrounds. Integrating afterwards the Lagrangian w.r.t the internal y variables yields an effective 5-dim spacetime theory with an *infinite* number of field-components. Similar actions have been constructed in full detail in [16] related to spacetime gauge theories of the Virasoro and w_∞ algebras, area-preserving diffeomorphisms of a plane. The $w_{1+\infty}$ are area-preserving diffeomorphisms of a cylinder and the $su(\infty)$ are area-preserving diffeomorphisms for the sphere. For relevant work on self dual gravity of the cotangent bundle of a two-dim surface and w_∞ algebras see [10,13,15,18,21]. Other important work on W algebras can be found in [5,11,12,17,18,19]. To see the role of the large N limit and the Moyal deformation quantization in the direct connection among p-brane actions and (Generalized) Yang-Mills theories see [14]. In particular, the connection between W -geometry and Fedosov's deformation quantization was performed in [8,9]. In [10] it was shown how non-critical w_∞ strings are effective 3d field theories that are devoid of BRST anomalies in $D = 27$ for the bosonic case, and $D = 11$ for the supersymmetric case. Noncritical w_∞ (super) strings behave like critical (super) membranes. It is warranted to study the relation of w_∞ strings (higher conformal spin field theories) propagating on curved $AdS_4 \times S^7$ backgrounds to higher spin field theories on AdS_4 spaces (target space symmetry) [6,7]. Since the conformal boundary of AdS_4 is 3-dimensional and the w_∞ strings are effective 3-dim theories, it is very natural to assume that w_∞ strings actually live on the boundary of AdS_4 . This result for $m = n = 5$ can be generalized for other values of m and n . Since the scalar curvature depends explicitly on the size of the throat (internal radius) and the dimensions, one will have to tune these values in order to produce an exact cancellation of the sum of the scalar curvatures. Concluding, higher dimensional pure gravitational actions restricted to $AdS_m \times S^n$ backgrounds admit a holographic reduction to a *lower* m -dimensional Yang-Mills-like gauge theory of diffeomorphisms of S^n interacting with a charged non-linear sigma model plus boundary terms by a simple tuning of the throat and internal radius sizes. After performing a harmonic expansion of the fields with respect to the internal coordinates of S^n and a subsequent integration one obtains an m -dimensional effective action involving an infinite-component field theory. The supersymmetrization program can be carried out in a straightforward fashion.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Prof. J. Mahecha and Dr. Alfred Schoeller for their valuable help and to the Kuhlmann family for their kind hospitality in Heidelberg, Germany where this work was completed.

References

- 1-J. Maldacena: Adv. Theor. Math. Phys **2** (1998) 231. hep-th/9711200.
- 2-J.L Petersen: "Introduction to the Maldacena Conjecture" hep-th/9902131.
- 3-Y.Cho, K, Soh, Q. Park, J. Yoon: Phys. Lett **B 286** (1992) 251.: J. H. Yoon: "4-dimensional Kaluza-Klein approach to General Relativity in the (2,2) splitting of spacetimes" gr-qc/9611050 J. H. Yoon: "Algebraically special class of spacetimes and (1,1) dimensional field theories" hep-th/9211129.
- 4- J. Hoppe: "Quantum theory of a Relativistic Surface" MIT Ph.D Thesis 1982.
- 5- P. Bouwknegt, K. Schoutens: " W -symmetry in Conformal Field Theory" Phys. Reports **223** (1993) 183-276.

- 6- M. Vasiliev: “Higher Spin Gauge Theories, Star Product and AdS spaces” hep-th/9910096 M. Vasiliev, S. Prokushkin: “3D Higher-Spin Gauge Theories with Matter”. hep-th/9812242, hep-th/9806236.
- 7- E Sezgin, P. Sundell: “Higher Spin $N = 8$ Supergravity in AdS_4 ”. hep-th/9805125; hep-th/9903020.
- 8- B. Fedosov: Jou. Diff. Geometry **40** (1994) 213.
- 9- C. Castro: “W-Geometry from Fedosov Deformation Quantization” Jour. Geometry and Physics **33** (2000) 173.
- 10- C. Castro: Jour. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **7** (5) (1996) 711. C. Castro: “Jour. Math. Phys. **34** (1993) 681. C. Castro: Phys Lett **B 288** (1992) 291.
- 11- E Nissimov, S. Pacheva, I. Vaysburd: “ W_∞ Gravity, a Geometric Approach”. hep-th/9207048.
- 12- G. Chapline: Jour. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **10** (2-3) (1999) 311. Mod. Phys. Lett **A 7** (1992) 1959. Mod. Phys. Lett **A 5** (1990) 2165.
- 13- H. Garcia-Compean, J. Plebanski, M. Przanowski: hep-th/9702046. “Geometry associated with SDYM and chiral approaches to Self Dual Gravity “.
- 14- C. Castro: “Branes from Moyal Deformation quantization of GYM” hep-th/9908115. S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci: Phys. Lett **B 504** (2001) 174. S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci: Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) L S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci: Class. Quan. Gravity **18** (2001) 2865. hep-th/0106028
- 15- Q.H. Park: Int. Jour. Mod. Phys **A 7** (1992) 1415.
- 16- W. Zhao: Jour. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 4325. Y. Cho, W. Zoh: Phys. Review **D 46** (1992) 3483.
- 17- E. Sezgin: “Area-preserving Diffs, w_∞ algebras, w_∞ gravity” hep-th/9202080. E. Sezgin: “Aspects of W_∞ Symmetry” hep-th/9112025.
- 18- C. Hull: Phys. Letts **B 269** (1991) 257.
- 19- Rodgers: “BF” hep-th/9203067
- 20- G. Dito, M. Flato, D. Sternheimer, L. Takhtajan: “The deformation quantization of Nambu-Poisson mechanics” hep-th/9602016 H. Awata, M. Li, G. Minic, T. Yoneya: “Quantizing the Nambu Poisson brackets” hep-th/9906248. G. Minic: “M theory and Deformation Quantization” hep-th/9909022
- 21- G. Chapline, K. Yamagishi: Class. Quan. Gravity **8** (1991) 427.
- 22- C. S. Aulakh, D. Sahdev: Phy. Lets **B 173** (1986) 241.